UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TO TOXICS, et al.	CASE NO. CIV-06-00002 EDL
Plainti),
v. EVERGREEN PULP, INC.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defend	t(s)/
Counsel report that th following stipulation pursuan	have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participa	n the following ADR process:
	itration (ADR L.R. 4) aluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) L.R. 6)
appreciably more likely to ma ADR phone conference and	an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an y not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for vil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR	ease identify process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the the presumpti referring the control of the control	DR session by: deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order e to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requeste	leadline To be established by the Court at the initial CMC, April 11, 2006.
Dated: March 20, 2006	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: March 20, 2006	Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

x Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 21, 2006

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE